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Stochastic terrorists demonize and dehumanize groups of people through propaganda to incite “lone wolf” violence against those groups. Their demonization and dehumanization is explicit, but their solicitation of murder is implicit and sufficiently ambiguous that most listeners will not perceive their call. But a few will perceive, fewer still will act. The resulting murder, legally attributable to the killer but not the hate group, is random and unpredictable by time, place, or manner.
Violent rhetoric is pumped into the discourse by cynical politicians, conservative influencers, retrograde church leaders, and far-right provocateurs. The ugly, mendacious narratives saturate the airwaves, the social networks, the fringe channels. Over and over and over, the hate speech is repeated. And someone, somewhere, snaps. *Enough is enough*, he decides. (It’s almost always a “he.”) He picks up his gun—there are always plenty of guns lying around—and takes action.

*Orwellian Dystopia*
Stochastic

Adj.: randomly determined; having a random probability distribution or pattern that may be analyzed statistically but may not be predicted precisely.

*Random but inevitable, like an earthquake in California*
Nevertheless, we should be explicit about what hate groups seek and the murders they incite before discussing their claim to tax exemption under IRC 501(c)(3). It diminishes the analysis not to be explicit. I do not allow for any other possibility than that hate groups seek the death of those they hate. Stochastic terrorists are genocidal; they would neither settle for subjugation or forced expatriation, nor concede reparations even if those were acceptable alternatives.

I disprove the argument that because their speech is protected, stochastic terrorists are entitled, as an exercise of their free speech, to tax exemption under IRC 501(c)(3). The opposite is true. Government is required to deny tax exemption to stochastic terrorists.
Dylann Roof
Dylann Roof’s Manifesto

CLEAN VERSION
The event that truly awakened me was the Trayvon Martin case. I kept hearing and seeing his name, and eventually I decided to look him up. I read the Wikipedia article and right away I was unable to understand what the big deal was. It was obvious that Zimmerman was in the right. But more importantly this prompted me to type in the words “black on White crime” into Google, and I have never been the same since that day. The first website I came to was the Council of Conservative Citizens. There were pages upon pages of these brutal black on White murders. I was in disbelief. At this moment I realized that something was very wrong. How could the news be blowing up the Trayvon Martin case while hundreds of these black on White murders got ignored?
I have no choice. I am not in the position to, alone, go into the ghetto and fight. I chose Charleston because it is most historic city in my state, and at one time had the highest ratio of blacks to Whites in the country. We have no skinheads, no real KKK, no one doing anything but talking on the internet. Well someone has to have the bravery to take it to the real world, and I guess that has to be me.
South Unites To Resist Mixes

Negro Problem Grows

Newark, N. J.--Negroes are finding their voices growing louder.

The New York Times, in editorial comment yesterday, asserted that the Negroes of Newark, New Jersey, face a serious problem of civil rights.

The newspaper said that the Negroes are becoming more active and more vocal in their demands for equal rights.

The paper quoted a recent speech by a Negro leader in which he said: "We want equal rights in every respect. We want to be treated as equals in all matters of public policy."

"WE WANT--" Not yet, but we will have our day," he declared.

The Times editorial concluded: "The Negro problem is a serious one, and it must be solved in a peaceful manner."

"This'll Be Good for 5 Million Votes"

New York C-R Hearings Called

"Amusing Spectacle of Hypocrisy"

African Americans Not Ready To Govern Selves, Liberal Writer Finally Admits

State Leaders Join To Testify Against All C-R Force Bills

Congress has been told in no uncertain terms that the South is not going to integrate, regardless of how much so-called "Civil Rights" bills may promise. Washington, April 30.-Washington during the past week witnessed its first national attack on the so-called "Civil Rights" proposals.

In both the Senate and the House, Congressmen, attorneys, judges and private citizens appeared in the city to protest against any enactment of such legislation.

"The first time that Southerners have appeared as a unified bloc," one of the Senators remarked, "that Southerners have had an opportunity to express their views on the civil rights question."
The WCC and the CCC are descendants of the Klan.
Unconstitutional Conditions

But the IRS can’t deny tax exemptions on the grounds that a group “hold[s] views that millions of Americans may find abhorrent” — or “espouse[s] values that are incompatible with most Americans” — whether those views are socialist, Islamist, pro-abortion, anti-abortion, pro-illegal-immigrant, anti-immigrant, pro-gay-rights, anti-gay-rights, white nationalist, black nationalist or anti-nationalist. It can’t deny exemptions to groups that engage in “hate speech” against blacks, gays, evangelical Christians or Donald Trump supporters, while allowing exemptions to groups that praise blacks, gays, evangelical Christians or Donald Trump supporters.
Everybody Pays for Charities

Effect of Charitable Subsidies on Net Income Compared to Current Law

By proposal

Universal deduction

- $27

Universal deduction for all giving above 1% of AGI

- $10

Universal deduction for all giving above 2% of AGI

- $3

Non-itemizer deduction capped at one third of the standard deduction

- $21

Source: Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model (version 0319-2).
Note: AGI = adjusted gross income.
TAX EXEMPTION

Corporations, and any community chest, fund, or foundation, organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, or educational purposes.
charitable

Such term includes: Relief of the poor and distressed or of the underprivileged; advancement of religion; advancement of education or science; erection or maintenance of public buildings, monuments, or works; lessening of the burdens of Government; and promotion of social welfare by organizations designed to accomplish any of the above purposes, or (i) to lessen neighborhood tensions; (ii) to eliminate prejudice and discrimination; (ii) to defend human and civil rights secured by law; or (iv) to combat community deterioration and juvenile delinquency.

Bob Jones University vs. United States established that charitable trust law, dating back to old England informs the definition of charity.
The term "educational", as used in section 501(c)(3), relates to—

(a) The instruction or training of the individual for the purpose of improving or developing his capabilities; or

(b) The instruction of the public on subjects useful to the individual and beneficial to the community.

An organization may be educational even though it advocates a particular position or viewpoint so long as it presents a sufficiently full and fair exposition of the pertinent facts as to permit an individual or the public to form an independent opinion or conclusion. On the other hand, an organization is not educational if its principal function is the mere presentation of unsupported opinion.

*Big Mama Rag v. United States*
indoctrination

Hiding facts, disparaging student opinions, or rejecting any ideas that contradict the teacher's beliefs are examples of indoctrination. Indoctrination is a means of forcing, brainwashing, or imposing desired ideologies without open discussion.

Epistemology requires **Justified True Beliefs**
The Fairness Doctrine in tax exemption jurisprudence

1.501(c)(3)-1(d). Education means a teaching activity in which epistemological methods are objectively employed to achieve intellectual goals.

1) Epistemological methods include the unbiased use of:

(a) lectures or presentations, scholarly papers, news reports, fiction, or non-fiction literature, and the like,
(b) observation, demonstration, immersive experience, and the like,
(c) research, expository writing, debate, experimentation, collaboration, and the like,
(d) logical reasoning, critical thinking, argumentative construction or deconstruction, and the like, and
(e) problem solving, case analysis

Whether an organization is educational will depend on an overall consideration of all the teaching methods. An organization must objectively employ at least one epistemological method but need not employ any higher number of methods. Nor is an organization required to use an equal number of methods supporting different propositions. An organization must, to a reasonable extent of its resources, utilize an objective, unbiased pedagogy designed to foster the discovery of justified belief rather than the confirmation of pre-determined propositions or unjustified beliefs.

*Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC*
Connecticut HR 32

Big Mama Rag v. United States
FREE SPEECH INCANTATIONS

1. Government Speech
2. Subsidized government speech, private or government
3. Forum Analysis – subject matter but never viewpoint discrimination
4. Unconstitutional Conditions
“In this case, petitioner excludes students who will not sign its Statement of Faith or who engage in “unrepentant homosexual conduct,” The expressive association argument it presses, however, is hardly limited to these facts. Other groups may exclude or mistreat Jews, blacks, and women—or those who do not share their contempt for Jews, blacks, and women. A free society must tolerate such groups. It need not subsidize them, give them its official imprimatur, or grant them equal access to law school facilities.”

561 U.S. at 703. (Stevens J. concurring).